



REPRESENTING THE RESTAURANT INDUSTRY

The Cornerstone of the Economy, Career Opportunities and Community Involvement

Statement for the Record

Of

**Dave Koenig, Vice President, Tax and Profitability,
National Restaurant Association**

For The Hearing On

“Certain Expiring Tax Provisions”

Before

**Subcommittee on Select Revenue Measures
Committee on Ways and Means
U.S. House of Representatives**

Thursday, April 26, 2012

Chairman Tiberi, Ranking Member Neal, and members of the House Ways and Means Select Revenue Measures Subcommittee, thank you for the opportunity to submit this statement for the record on behalf of the National Restaurant Association. We applaud the Chairman, Ranking Member, and Subcommittee's consideration of these important issues.

Currently, the tax law presents taxpayers with a great deal of complexity and unpredictability. Looking ahead, tax reform presents an opportunity to provide taxpayers with certainty, simplicity, and fairness, while encouraging economic growth and job creation. Done properly, a comprehensive and nuanced review of the tax system would eliminate those tax policies that detract from these objectives, while promoting those that advance them.

However, in the interim, we urge immediate and seamless extension of expired provisions in the Internal Revenue Code ("tax code"). In particular, we strongly support extension of the 15-year depreciation schedule for leasehold improvements, restaurant improvements and new construction, and retail improvements and we commend Congressman Gerlach and Congressman Neal for their introduction of the broadly-supported, bipartisan H.R. 1265, which would make permanent this provision. We also strongly support the extension of the Work Opportunity Tax Credit ("WOTC"). Additionally, we urge strengthening the deduction for donations of food inventory.

Restaurants: An Industry with a Large Impact on Our Nation's Economy

The restaurant industry plays a significant role in our nation's economy. In 2012, the restaurant industry is expected to generate an estimated \$632 billion in sales, with an overall economic impact of more than \$1.7 trillion. Every dollar spent in restaurants generates an additional \$2.05 spent in our nation's economy. The restaurant industry is one of the nation's largest private job creators, employing approximately 12.9 million people, representing nearly ten percent of the U.S. workforce. We are truly the cornerstone of this nation's economy.

Moreover, it is important to stress that the restaurant industry is an industry of small businesses. There are 970,000 restaurant and foodservice outlets in this country. Seven out of ten restaurants are single-unit operators. Most eating and drinking establishments, 93 percent of the industry, have fewer than 50 employees. Restaurants also serve as the conference rooms for many of the self-employed and other small businesses.

15-year Depreciation Schedule for Leasehold Improvements, Restaurant Improvements and New Construction, and Retail Improvements

One principle of the tax code is that costs of assets are allocated over the period in which they are used. Assets with longer expected lives are depreciated over a longer period of time, while assets with shorter lives are depreciated over a shorter period of time. As a reflection of this principle, the tax code contains a provision under which leasehold improvements, restaurant improvements and new restaurant construction, and retail improvements can be depreciated over 15 years rather than a 39-year recovery period that would otherwise apply to nonresidential real property.

With more than 130 million Americans patronizing restaurants each day, restaurant building structures experience daily structural and cosmetic wear and tear caused by customers and

employees. National Restaurant Association research shows that, as a result, most restaurants remodel and update their building structures every six to eight years. Consequently, 15 years is a more accurate timeframe for recovering the cost of investments in restaurant buildings and improvements.

Moreover, a 15-year depreciation schedule reduces the cost of capital expenditures and increases cash flow. As demonstrated in Figure 1 below, the annual tax savings and corresponding additional cash flow realized by restaurateurs from a 15-year, rather than a 39-year, depreciation schedule are considerable. For example, a restaurateur’s annual tax liability would increase by nearly \$10,000 if the recovery period for a \$1 million investment were increased from 15 years to 39 years. A more accurate recovery period frees resources to expand business either through new hires or further capital expenditures.

Figure 1.

Sample Calculations for 15-Year versus 39-Year Depreciation

Total Capital Expenditure on Eligible Property	Annual Depreciation Based on 39-year Schedule	Annual Tax Savings from Depreciation	Annual Depreciation Based on 15-year Schedule	Annual Tax Savings from Depreciation	Annual Difference in Tax Savings Between 15- & 39-year Schedules
\$100,000	\$2,532	\$608	\$6,667	\$1,600	\$992
\$250,000	\$6,329	\$1,519	\$16,667	\$4,000	\$2,481
\$500,000	\$12,658	\$3,038	\$33,333	\$8,000	\$4,962
\$700,000	\$17,722	\$4,253	\$46,667	\$11,200	\$6,947
\$1,000,000	\$25,316	\$6,076	\$66,667	\$16,000	\$9,924
\$1,500,000	\$37,975	\$9,114	\$100,000	\$24,000	\$14,886
\$2,000,000	\$50,633	\$12,152	\$133,333	\$32,000	\$19,848

Expenditure Scenarios

Rebuild Costs:

Quickservice - \$700,000

Fullservice - \$1,500,000

Renovation Costs:

Quickservice - \$250,000

Fullservice - \$500,000

Note: Figures are based on a 24 percent effective marginal tax rate

Additionally, when restaurants invest in construction and renovations, the impact spreads throughout the economy. Figure 2 provides state-by-state estimates of the additional spending on restaurant improvements and new construction that would result from an extension of the 15-year depreciation provision, as well as the overall economic and employment impact within each state.

However, the 15-year depreciation schedule is temporary and must be extended annually. Most recently, it was extended by the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 (“2010 Act”) retroactive to the beginning of 2010 and through the end of 2011. Consequently, the provision has expired again. The piecemeal and temporary approach to the 15-year depreciation schedule, requiring extension every couple of years, presents taxpayers with unnecessary uncertainty and complexity.

As a result, economic activity is sitting on the sidelines in a fragile economic recovery. Currently, 30 percent of restaurant operators said they have put projects on hold because of the

uncertainty surrounding the extension of the 15-year depreciation provision. With single-unit restaurant operators reporting an average expected project cost of \$40,000, and multi-unit operators reporting an average expected project cost of \$500,000, the additional construction activity of these restaurant projects alone would exceed \$7 billion. Based on economic multipliers from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, the overall economic impact of these restaurant construction projects would exceed \$23 billion, with a total employment impact of nearly 200,000 additional jobs across all U.S. industries.

Making permanent the 15-year depreciation schedule for leasehold improvements, restaurant improvements and new construction, and retail improvements would address this issue, providing taxpayers with predictability, simplicity, and fairness. Until there is an opportunity to address tax policy in a long-term and comprehensive manner like H.R. 1265, the 15-year depreciation schedule should be extended. Our nation's businesses are looking forward by planning capital expenditures to improve and expand their businesses. The ability to plan for these expenditures and know what the tax treatment will be in the future is important to those who are making such decisions right now.

Work Opportunity Tax Credit

Another important, but largely expired, aspect of the tax code is WOTC, a tax credit provided to employers who hire individuals from several targeted groups who face significant barriers to employment. Examples of WOTC-targeted employee groups include veterans who either are food stamp recipients or are unemployed and suffering a service-connected disability, former felons, disconnected youth, and members of families receiving benefits under the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Program ("TANF").

The restaurant industry employs close to 13 million people, many of whom may not have been hired if WOTC had not been in place. WOTC encourages employers to hire certain categories of individuals with barriers to employment, enabling these workers to move into self-sufficiency as they earn a steady income and become contributing taxpayers. Through WOTC, more long-term welfare recipients – the most difficult cases – are being employed in the private sector and 7 out of 10 welfare recipients are using WOTC to find private sector jobs. A 2011 study by Peter Cappelli of the Wharton Business School at the University of Pennsylvania found that individuals hired under WOTC go on to become productive employees who are no longer dependent on public assistance.

Further, WOTC works. In 2011, more than 1.1 million workers found jobs through WOTC, at an average cost of approximately \$1,300 based on Joint Committee on Taxation data. It is important to note that this figure does not reflect any offsetting saving from lower welfare, disability, and social security payments. The Cappelli study found that WOTC is one of the most successful and cost effective federal employment programs.

Allowing this provision to expire at a time of intransigent unemployment is a significant setback for job creation and the provision should be extended. Moreover, in the longer term, Congress should make WOTC permanent, since it has proven to be an efficient incentive for businesses to provide jobs for workers who might otherwise fall through the cracks. Doing so would further provide taxpayers with predictability and certainty in the tax code.

Deduction for Charitable Donation of Food Inventory for Small Businesses

Each day, 35 million Americans are at risk of hunger. At the same time, billions of pounds of food are wasted each year. America's restaurants give back to their communities in major ways, the most significant of which is through food donation. According to National Restaurant Association research, 73 percent of restaurants donate food to individuals or charities.

The deduction for charitable donation of food inventory is a critical tool in alleviating hunger. Without the provision, taxpayers get the same tax treatment for throwing out surplus food as they do for giving it to charity. The enhanced deduction instead encourages donating the food to charity, by helping to offset the costs associated with storing and transporting the extra food. Absent the enhanced deduction for the charitable donation of food inventory, these charities would be hard-pressed to meet critical demands, putting our nation's most vulnerable families at risk for hunger.

However, the impact of the deduction could be improved. For nearly 30 years since its inception in 1976, the tax deduction for contributions of food inventory was limited to C corporations. In 2005, the provision was temporarily expanded to include pass-through entities (i.e., Subchapter S corporations, limited liability companies) and has been extended on subsequent occasions. Making permanent the now-temporary component of the deduction would make it more effective, while advancing the objectives of providing taxpayers with simplicity and predictability.

The National Restaurant Association strongly encourages its members to donate more food and has partnered with Food Donation Connection ("FDC") to strengthen this effort. Founded by a former restaurant executive, FDC serves as the liaison between the restaurants interested in donating food and the social service agencies adept at getting that food to people in need. FDC helps restaurants develop and implement programs designed to provide an alternative to discarding surplus food, while capitalizing on the economic benefits of those donations through the tax savings. Since 1992, FDC has helped facilitate the donation of over 210 million pounds of food to non-profit, hunger-relief agencies.

Conclusion

Thank you for the opportunity to submit this statement on behalf of the National Restaurant Association. Until there is an opportunity for tax reform, we urge the immediate and seamless extension of the expired tax provisions. As Congress considers these important issues, we would be pleased to serve as a resource for the Subcommittee, the Committee, and Congress.

Figure 2.**Estimated Impact of Extending 15-Year Restaurant Depreciation Provision Through 2013**

State	Increase in Spending on Restaurant Improvements & New Construction (in millions)	Total Economic Impact Within the State (in millions)	Total Employment Impact Within the State (total jobs in all industries)
Alabama	\$78	\$170	1,591
Alaska	\$21	\$37	263
Arizona	\$113	\$233	1,913
Arkansas	\$53	\$104	961
California	\$851	\$1,953	13,122
Colorado	\$130	\$293	2,264
Connecticut	\$101	\$192	1,250
Delaware	\$22	\$41	269
District of Columbia	\$26	\$31	42
Florida	\$380	\$785	7,054
Georgia	\$194	\$441	3,818
Hawaii	\$42	\$80	609
Idaho	\$40	\$71	718
Illinois	\$312	\$728	4,870
Indiana	\$134	\$294	2,381
Iowa	\$81	\$144	1,293
Kansas	\$60	\$115	900
Kentucky	\$75	\$161	1,406
Louisiana	\$87	\$182	1,518
Maine	\$42	\$82	834
Maryland	\$129	\$250	1,758
Massachusetts	\$193	\$382	2,474
Michigan	\$224	\$482	4,051
Minnesota	\$118	\$251	1,957
Mississippi	\$47	\$94	872
Missouri	\$127	\$275	2,145
Montana	\$39	\$73	748
Nebraska	\$48	\$80	723
Nevada	\$58	\$109	801
New Hampshire	\$39	\$78	586
New Jersey	\$254	\$550	3,468
New Mexico	\$37	\$71	659
New York	\$595	\$1,075	7,049
North Carolina	\$190	\$391	3,665
North Dakota	\$22	\$38	307
Ohio	\$254	\$584	4,840
Oklahoma	\$70	\$150	1,424
Oregon	\$117	\$241	2,018
Pennsylvania	\$330	\$781	5,728
Rhode Island	\$39	\$71	539
South Carolina	\$98	\$214	2,016
South Dakota	\$25	\$42	416
Tennessee	\$109	\$246	2,035
Texas	\$427	\$1,068	8,210
Utah	\$48	\$112	1,012
Vermont	\$21	\$39	384
Virginia	\$166	\$345	2,645
Washington	\$187	\$408	3,010
West Virginia	\$38	\$73	627
Wisconsin	\$173	\$362	3,036
Wyoming	\$17	\$29	241
United States	\$7,081	\$23,944	199,830

Source: National Restaurant Association estimates, with economic and employment impact based on BEA multipliers

Note: State impact figures do not sum to the U.S. total, because they only include inputs within each state.