

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

**THE UNITED STATES-SINGAPORE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT
IMPLEMENTATION ACT**

STATEMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION

This Statement of Administrative Action is submitted to the Congress in compliance with section 2105(a)(1)(C) of the Bipartisan Trade Promotion Authority Act of 2002 (“TPA Act”) and accompanies the implementing bill for the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement (“Agreement”). The bill approves and makes statutory changes necessary or appropriate to implement the Agreement, which the President signed on May 6, 2003.

This Statement describes significant administrative actions proposed to implement U.S. obligations under the Agreement.

In addition, incorporated into this Statement are two other statements required under section 2105(a) of the TPA Act: (1) an explanation of how the implementing bill and proposed administrative action will change or affect existing law; and (2) a statement setting forth the reasons why the implementing bill and proposed administrative action are necessary or appropriate to carry out the Agreement. The Agreement does not change the provisions of any agreement the United States has previously negotiated with Singapore.

For ease of reference, this Statement generally follows the organization of the Agreement, with the exception of grouping the general provisions of the Agreement (Chapters 1 and 19 through 21) at the beginning of the discussion.

For each chapter of the Agreement, the Statement describes the pertinent provisions of the implementing bill, explaining how the bill changes or affects existing law, and stating why those provisions are necessary or appropriate to implement the Agreement. The Statement then describes the administrative action proposed to implement the particular chapter of the Agreement, explaining how the proposed action changes existing administrative practice and stating why the changes are necessary or appropriate to implement the Agreement.

It should be noted that this Statement does not, for the most part, discuss those many instances in which U.S. law or administrative practice will remain unchanged under the Agreement. In many cases, U.S. laws and regulations are already in conformity with the obligations assumed under the Agreement.

Finally, references in this Statement to particular sections of U.S. statutes are based on those statutes in effect as of the date this Statement was submitted to the Congress.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapters:
One (Initial Provisions and Definitions)
Nineteen (Transparency)
Twenty (Administration and Dispute Settlement)
Twenty-One (General and Final Provisions)

1. Implementing Bill

a. **Congressional Approval**

Section 101(a) of the implementing bill provides Congressional approval for the Agreement and this Statement, as required by sections 2103(b)(3) and 2105(a)(1) of the TPA Act.

b. **Entry into Force**

Article 21.9 of the Agreement requires the United States and Singapore to exchange written notifications that their respective internal requirements for the entry into force of the Agreement have been fulfilled. The exchange of notifications is a necessary condition for the Agreement's entry into force. Section 101(b) of the implementing bill authorizes the President to exchange notes with Singapore to provide for entry into force of the Agreement on or after January 1, 2004. The exchange of notes is conditioned on a determination by the President that Singapore has taken measures necessary to comply with those of its obligations that take effect at the time the Agreement enters into force. Some provisions of the Agreement, such as certain rules pertaining to intellectual property rights, become effective at prescribed times after the Agreement's entry into force.

Article 5.10 of the Agreement contemplates that those provisions of the Agreement pertaining to trade in textile and apparel goods (*i.e.*, Chapter 5, as well as related provisions of other Chapters, including Chapter 2 (market access) and Chapter 3 (rules of origin)), may take effect after the date on which the Agreement enters into force. This article reflects an appreciation that special concerns surround trade in textile and apparel products and that particular care must be taken to ensure that officials have ample authority to detect, deter, and penalize fraudulent claims for preferential tariff treatment under the Agreement in this sector. In light of these concerns, the Agreement commits the two governments to consult for the purpose of ensuring that requisite legislation is in place before the Agreement's textile and apparel provisions take effect.

Before exchanging notes implementing the Agreement's textile and apparel provisions, the Administration will carefully assess whether Singapore has made requisite changes to its customs legislation to ensure that it fully satisfies Singapore's obligations under Chapter 5 and other pertinent provisions of the Agreement. In making that

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

assessment, the Administration will consult with the Congress and solicit advice from U.S. industry.

c. Relationship to Federal Law

Section 102(a) of the bill establishes the relationship between the Agreement and U.S. law. The implementing bill, including the authority granted to federal agencies to promulgate implementing regulations, is intended to bring U.S. law fully into compliance with U.S. obligations under the Agreement. The bill accomplishes that objective with respect to federal legislation by amending existing federal statutes that would otherwise be inconsistent with the Agreement and, in certain instances, by creating entirely new provisions of law.

Section 102(a) clarifies that no provision of the Agreement will be given effect under domestic law if it is inconsistent with federal law, including provisions of federal law enacted or amended by the bill. Section 102(a) will not prevent implementation of federal statutes consistent with the Agreement, where permissible under the terms of such statutes. Rather, the section reflects the Congressional view that necessary changes in federal statutes should be specifically enacted rather than provided for in a blanket preemption of federal statutes by the Agreement.

The Administration has made every effort to include all laws in the implementing bill and to identify all administrative actions in this Statement that must be changed in order to conform with the new U.S. rights and obligations arising from the Agreement. Those include both regulations resulting from statutory changes in the bill itself and changes in laws, regulations, rules, and orders that can be implemented without a change in the underlying U.S. statute.

Accordingly, at this time it is the expectation of the Administration that no changes in existing federal law, rules, regulations, or orders other than those specifically indicated in the implementing bill and this Statement will be required to implement the new international obligations that the United States will assume under the Agreement. This is without prejudice to the President's continuing responsibility and authority to carry out U.S. law and agreements. As experience under the Agreement is gained over time, other or different administrative actions may be taken in accordance with applicable law to implement the Agreement. If additional action is called for, the Administration would seek legislation from Congress or, if a change in regulation is required, follow normal agency procedures for amending regulations.

d. Relationship to State Law

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

The Agreement's rules generally cover state and local laws and regulations, as well as those at the federal level. There are a number of exceptions to, or limitations on, this general rule, however, particularly in the areas of government procurement, investment, and trade in services.

The Agreement does not automatically "preempt" or invalidate state laws that do not conform to the Agreement's rules -- even if a dispute settlement panel were to find a state measure inconsistent with the Agreement. The United States is free under the Agreement to determine how it will conform with the Agreement's rules at the federal and non-federal level. The Administration is committed to carrying out U.S. obligations under the Agreement, as they apply to the states, through the greatest possible degree of state-federal consultation and cooperation.

Section 102(b)(1) of the bill makes clear that only the United States is entitled to bring an action in court in the event that there is an unresolved conflict between a state law, or the application of a state law, and the Agreement. The authority conferred on the United States under this paragraph is intended to be used only as a "last resort," in the unlikely event that efforts to achieve consistency through consultations have not succeeded.

The reference in section 102(b)(2) of the bill to the business of insurance is required by virtue of section 2 of the McCarran-Ferguson Act (15 U.S.C. 1012). That section states that no federal statute shall be construed to supersede any state law regulating or taxing the business of insurance unless the federal statute "specifically relates to the business of insurance." Certain provisions of the Agreement (for example, Chapter 10, relating to financial services) do apply to state measures regulating the insurance business, although "grandfathering" provisions in Chapter 10 exempt existing inconsistent (*i.e.*, "non-conforming") measures.

Given the provision of the McCarran-Ferguson Act, the implementing act must make specific reference to the business of insurance in order for the Agreement's provisions covering the insurance business to be given effect with respect to state insurance law. Insurance is otherwise treated in the same manner under the Agreement and the implementing bill as other financial services under the Agreement.

e. Private Lawsuits

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Section 102(c) of the implementing bill precludes any private right of action or remedy against a federal, state, or local government, or against a private party, based on the provisions of the Agreement. A private party thus could not sue (or defend a suit against) the United States, a state, or a private party on grounds of consistency (or inconsistency) with the Agreement. The provision also precludes a private right of action attempting to require, preclude, or modify federal or state action on grounds such as an allegation that the government is required to exercise discretionary authority or general “public interest” authority under other provisions of law in conformity with the Agreement.

With respect to the states, section 102(c) represents a determination by the Congress and the Administration that private lawsuits are not an appropriate means for ensuring state compliance with the Agreement. Suits of this nature may interfere with the Administration’s conduct of trade and foreign relations and with suitable resolution of disagreements or disputes under the Agreement.

Section 102(c) does not preclude a private party from submitting a claim against the United States to arbitration under Chapter 15 (Investment) of the Agreement or seeking to enforce an award against the United States issued pursuant to such arbitration. The provision also would not preclude any agency of government from considering, or entertaining argument on, whether its action or proposed action is consistent with the Agreement, although any change in agency action would have to be consistent with domestic law.

f. Implementing Regulations

Section 104(a) of the bill provides the authority for new or amended regulations to be issued, and for the President to proclaim actions implementing the provisions of the Agreement, as of the date the Agreement enters into force. Section 104(b) of the bill requires that, whenever possible, all federal regulations required or authorized under the bill and those proposed in this Statement as necessary or appropriate to implement immediately applicable U.S. obligations under the Agreement are to be developed and promulgated within one year of the Agreement’s entry into force. In practice, the Administration intends, wherever possible, to amend or issue the other regulations required to implement U.S. obligations under the Agreement at the time the Agreement enters into force. The process for issuing regulations pursuant to this authority will comply with the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act, including requirements to provide notice and an opportunity for public comment on such regulations. If issuance of any regulation will occur more than one year after the date provided in section 104(b), the officer responsible for issuing such regulation will notify the relevant committees of both Houses of the delay, the reasons for such delay, and the expected date for issuance of the regulation. Such notice will be provided at least 30 days

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

prior to the end of the one-year period.

g. **Dispute Settlement**

Section 105(a) of the bill authorizes the President to establish within the Department of Commerce an office responsible for providing administrative assistance to dispute settlement panels established under Chapter 20 of the Agreement. This provision enables the United States to implement its obligations under Article 20.2.1(a) of the Agreement. **This office will not be an “agency” within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 552, consistent with treatment provided under the North American Free Trade Agreement and the U.S.-Canada Free Trade Agreement. Thus, for example, the office will not be subject to the Freedom of Information Act or the Government in the Sunshine Act. Since they are international bodies, panels established under Chapter 20 are not subject to those acts.**

Section 105(b) of the bill authorizes the appropriation of funds to support the office established pursuant to section 105(a).

h. **Effective Dates**

Section 107(b) of the bill provides that the first three sections of the bill as well as Title I of the bill go into effect when the bill is enacted into law. It also provides that section 205 of the bill, which authorizes special enforcement action relating to trade in textile and apparel goods, takes effect on the date on which the textile and apparel provisions of the Agreement take effect pursuant to Article 5.10 of the Agreement. This anticipates the possibility that the textile and apparel provisions may take effect after the Agreement enters into force, as discussed in item (b), above.

Section 107(a) provides that the other provisions of the bill and the amendments to other statutes made by the bill take effect on the date on which the Agreement enters into force. Section 107(c) provides that the provisions of the bill and the amendments to other statutes made by the bill will cease to be effective on the date on which the Agreement ceases to be in force.

2. **Administrative Action**

No administrative changes will be necessary to implement Chapter 1 or 21.

Article 19.2.1 of the Agreement requires each government to designate a contact point to facilitate bilateral communications regarding the Agreement. The Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) will serve as the U.S. contact point for this purpose.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Before the Agreement enters into force, the United States and Singapore will agree on a “Contingent List” of qualified individuals to chair dispute settlement panels if the FTA partners cannot agree on a chair. USTR will consult with the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate as it develops the Contingent List.

Chapter Two (National Treatment and Market Access for Goods)

1. **Implementing Bill**

a. Proclamation Authority

Section 201(a) of the bill grants the President authority to implement by proclamation U.S. rights and obligations under Chapter 2 of the Agreement through the application or elimination of tariffs and tariff rate quotas. Section 201(a) authorizes the President to:

- **modify or continue any duty,**
- **keep in place duty-free or excise treatment; or**
- **impose any duty**

that the President determines to be necessary or appropriate to carry out or apply Articles 2.2, 2.5, 2.6, and 2.12, and Annex 2B of the Agreement

The proclamation authority with respect to Article 2.2 authorizes the President to provide for the phase-out and elimination, according to the U.S. schedule in Annex 2B, of tariffs on imports from Singapore that meet the Agreement’s rules of origin.

The proclamation authority with respect to Articles 2.5 and 2.6 authorizes the President to provide for the elimination of tariffs on particular categories of imports from Singapore. Article 2.5 pertains to the temporary admission of certain goods, such as commercial samples, goods intended for display at an exhibition, and goods necessary for carrying out the business activity of a person who qualifies for temporary entry into the United States. Article 2.6 pertains to the importation of goods (1) that had been exported to Singapore for repair or alteration in Singapore, or (2) that have been imported from Singapore for repair or alteration in the United States.

The proclamation authority with respect to Article 2.12 authorizes the President to provide preferential tariff treatment to certain textile and apparel goods that do not qualify as “originating goods” (*i.e.*, products that satisfy the Agreement’s rules of origin). However, this treatment may be applied only up to annual quantitative limits set forth in that article and the treatment will be phased-down and eventually eliminated over a nine-year period. While goods subject to this provision may receive preferential tariff treatment, they will remain subject to the U.S. merchandise-processing fee when they are imported. (*See* item (b) in this section.)

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Section 201(b) of the bill authorizes the President, subject to the consultation and layover provisions of section 103(a) of the bill, to:

- modify or continue any duty;
- modify the staging of any duty elimination under the Agreement pursuant to an agreement with Singapore under Article 2.2.3;
- keep in place duty-free or excise treatment; or
- impose any duty

by proclamation whenever the President determines it to be necessary or appropriate to maintain the general level of reciprocal and mutually advantageous concessions with respect to Singapore provided by the Agreement.

Section 103(a) of the bill sets forth consultation and layover steps that must precede the President's implementation of any tariff modification by proclamation. This would include, for example, tariff modifications under section 201(b) of the bill. Under the consultation and layover provisions, the President must obtain the advice of the private sector (pursuant to section 135 of the Trade Act of 1974) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) on the proposed action. The President must submit a report to the House Committee on Ways and Means and the Senate Committee on Finance setting forth the action proposed, the reasons therefore, and the advice of the private sector and the ITC. The bill sets aside a 60-day period following the date of transmittal of the report for the Committees to consult with the President on the action. Following the expiration of the 60-day period, the President may proclaim the action.

The President may initiate the consultation and layover process under section 103(a) of the bill on enactment of the bill, but, under section 104(a), any modifying proclamation cannot take effect until the Agreement enters into force. In addition to tariff modifications, these provisions apply to other Presidential proclamation authority provided in the bill subject to consultation and layover, such as authority to implement a proposal to modify the Agreement's specific rules of origin pursuant to an agreement with Singapore under Article 3.18.4 of the Agreement.

Section 201(c) of the bill provides for the conversion of existing specific or compound rates of duty for various products to *ad valorem* rates for purposes of implementing the Agreement's tariff reductions. (A compound rate of duty for a good would be a rate of duty stated, for example, as the sum of X dollars per kilogram plus Y percent of the value of the good.)

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

b. Customs User Fee

Section 203 of the bill implements U.S. commitments under Article 2.8 of the Agreement, regarding customs user fees on originating goods, by amending section 13031(b) of the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (19 U.S.C. 58c). The amendment provides for the immediate elimination of the merchandise-processing fee for goods qualifying as originating goods under Chapter 3 of the Agreement. Processing of goods qualifying as originating goods under the Agreement will be financed by money from the General Fund of the Treasury. This is necessary to ensure that the United States complies with obligations under the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 by limiting fees charged for the processing of non-originating imports to amounts commensurate with the processing services provided. That is, fees charged on such non-originating imports will not be used to finance the processing of originating imports.

2. Administrative Action

As discussed above, section 201(a) of the bill authorizes the President to proclaim duty-free treatment for certain goods to carry out Article 2.5 (temporary admission of certain goods) and Article 2.6 (repair or alteration of certain goods) of the Agreement. Implementing the proclamation will require regulations, which will be issued by the Secretary of the Treasury.

Chapter Three (Rules of Origin)

1. Implementing Bill

a. General

Section 202 of the implementing bill codifies the general rules of origin set forth in Chapter 3 of the Agreement. These rules apply only for the purposes of this bill and for the purposes of implementing the tariff treatment provided under the Agreement. An originating good of Singapore for the purposes of this bill would not necessarily be a product of or import from Singapore for the purposes of other U.S. laws or regulations.

Under the general rules, there are two basic ways for a good of Singapore to qualify as an “originating good,” and therefore be eligible for preferential tariff treatment when it is imported into the United States. First, a good is an originating good if it is “wholly obtained or produced entirely in the territory of Singapore, the United States, or both.” The term “goods wholly obtained or produced entirely in the territory of Singapore, the United States, or both” is defined in section 202(n)(4) of the bill and includes, for example, minerals extracted in either country, products from animals born and raised in either country, and waste and scrap derived from production of goods that takes place in the territory of either or both countries.

The term “goods wholly obtained or produced entirely in the territory of Singapore, the United States, or both” includes “recovered goods.” These are parts resulting from the disassembly of used goods, which are brought into good working condition, in order to be combined with other recovered goods and other materials to form “remanufactured goods.” The term “remanufactured goods” is separately defined to mean goods listed in Annex 3C of the Agreement that (1) are comprised entirely or partially of recovered goods; (2) have the same life expectancy and meet the same performance standards as new goods; and (3) enjoy the same factory warranty as such new goods.

Second, the general rules of origin provide that a good is an “originating good” if those materials used to produce the good that are not themselves originating goods are transformed in such a way as to cause their tariff classification to change or meet other requirements, as specified in Annex 3A of the Agreement.

In addition, Article 3.2 provides that a good listed in Annex 3B of the Agreement is considered to be an “originating good” if it is imported into the territory of the United States from the territory of Singapore. The goods listed in Annex 3B are information technology goods and certain other goods for which the current United States NTR/MFN duty rate is zero. Thus, imports of these goods into the United States would receive duty-free treatment regardless of origin. As “originating goods” under the

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Agreement, they also will be exempt from the merchandise-processing fee. (See discussion under Chapter Two, above.)

The Agreement provides that a product listed in Annex 3B (an Integrated Sourcing Initiative or ISI product) is an “originating good” only if it is shipped from one Agreement Party to the other. If the product is shipped from a non-Agreement party to Singapore – but is not shipped between Singapore and the United States – it does not meet the criteria for treatment as an originating good under the Agreement. The ISI provisions of the Agreement do not affect the applicability of normal rules of origin, except in the limited situation of shipments between Singapore and the United States.

A product on the ISI list that is shipped from a non-Agreement country and used as an input for the manufacture of a final product (such as a machine tool) in Singapore does not count as originating for purposes of a regional value content (RVC) calculation. An ISI material, component, product, or other input does not attain originating status simply by being imported into Singapore or the United States. The only way that the ISI material, component, product, or other input would affect a RVC calculation would be if an ISI product from a non-Agreement party were first shipped to the United States, held there without undergoing any processing that would affect its treatment under the rules of origin, then is shipped to Singapore, and then manufactured there into a non-ISI good without undergoing any intermediate production steps that would affect treatment of the product under the rules of origin.

The remainder of section 202 of the implementing bill sets forth specific rules related to determining whether a good meets the Agreement’s requirements under the second way to qualify as an originating good. For example, section 202(b) provides that a good is not disqualified as an originating good if it contains *de minimis* quantities of non-originating materials that do not undergo a tariff transformation. Section 202(d) implements provisions in Annex 3A of the Agreement that require certain goods to have at least a specified percentage of “regional value content” to qualify as “originating goods.” Section 202(d) prescribes alternative methods for calculating regional value content. Other provisions in section 202 address valuation of materials and determination of the originating or non-originating status of fungible goods and materials.

b. Proclamation Authority

Section 202(o)(1) of the bill authorizes the President to proclaim the specific rules of origin in Annexes 3A, 3B and 3C of the Agreement, as well as any additional subordinate rules necessary to carry out the tariff provisions of the bill consistent with the Agreement. In addition, section 202(o)(2) gives authority to the President to modify certain of the Agreement’s specific origin rules by proclamation, subject to the consultation and layover provisions of section 103(a) of the bill. (See discussion under item 1.a of Chapter Two, above.)

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Various provisions of the Agreement expressly contemplate modifications to the rules of origin. Article 3.18.3 calls for the two governments to consider within six months of the Agreement's entry into force modifying Annex 3A (product-specific rules of origin) and Annex 3C (origin rules for remanufactured goods). In addition, Article 3.18.4(a)(i) calls for the United States and Singapore to consult at either government's request to consider whether rules of origin for particular textile and apparel goods should be revised in light of the availability of fibers, yarns, or fabrics in their respective territories.

Section 202(o)(2) of the bill expressly limits the President's authority to modify by proclamation specific rules of origin pertaining to textile and apparel goods (listed in Chapters 50 through 63 of Annex 3A of the Agreement). Those rules of origin may be modified by proclamation in only two circumstances: first, to implement an agreement with Singapore pursuant to Article 3.18.4(c) of the Agreement to address commercial availability of particular fibers, yarns, or fabrics; and second, within one year of enactment of the implementing bill, to correct typographical, clerical, or other non-substantive technical errors.

Section 202(o)(2) also precludes the modification by proclamation of provisions of Annex 3B of the Agreement (the ISI provisions).

c. Correction of Invalid Claims

Under Article 3.14.4(a) of the Agreement, neither government may impose a penalty on an importer who makes an invalid claim for preferential tariff treatment under the Agreement if, after discovering that the claim is invalid, the importer promptly and voluntarily corrects the claim and pays any duty owing. Article 3.14.4(b) provides for importers to have at least a one-year grace period after submitting an invalid claim in which to correct it.

Section 204 of the bill implements this requirement for the United States by amending section 592(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1592(c)).

2. Administrative Action

The rules of origin in Chapter 3 of the Agreement are intended to direct the benefits of tariff elimination under the Agreement principally to firms producing or manufacturing goods in Singapore and the United States. For this reason, the rules ensure that, in general, a product is eligible for benefits under the Agreement only if it is (1) wholly produced or obtained in one or both countries or (2) undergoes both substantial processing and substantial change in one or both countries.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

a. Claims for Preferential Tariff Treatment

Section 206 of the bill authorizes the Secretary of the Treasury to prescribe regulations necessary to carry out the tariff-related provisions of the bill, including the rule of origin provisions. **The Treasury Department will use this authority in part to promulgate any regulations necessary to implement the Agreement’s provisions governing claims for preferential treatment. Under Article 3.13.1 of the Agreement, an importer may claim preferential treatment for particular goods if the importer knows or possesses information that the goods satisfy the Agreement’s rules of origin. Under Article 3.13.2, an importer may be requested to explain in writing the basis for its claim. Article 3.14 requires that a claim for preferential treatment be granted unless customs officials have information that the claim is invalid or the importer fails to satisfy the Agreement’s origin rules. Article 3.14 also requires that a written determination, with factual and legal findings, be provided if a claim is denied.**

b. Verification

Under Article 3.16, customs officials may use a variety of methods to verify claims that goods imported from Singapore satisfy the Agreement’s origin rules. Similarly, Article 3.16 authorizes Singapore’s customs authorities to conduct their own verification procedures, including by visiting exporter and producer facilities in the United States. Article 5.4 sets out special procedures for verifying claims that textile and apparel goods imported from Singapore meet the Agreement’s rules of origin and for verifying the accuracy of “product of Singapore” markings for such goods.

Current U.S. law provides authority for U.S. customs officials to apply each of the verification procedures listed in Articles 3.16 and 5.4 of the Agreement. For example, section 509 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1509) provides authority to examine records and issue summonses to determine liability for duty and ensure compliance with U.S. customs laws.

Regulations will be issued as necessary to describe (1) the procedures that U.S. officials will follow in using questionnaires, letters, or visits to conduct an origin verification of a Singaporean exporter or producer or (2) procedures for notifying the appropriate parties of a determination of origin and for applying that determination to current and future importations of identical goods. The procedures described in Articles 3.16 and 5.4 of the Agreement will be used to verify the applicable rate of customs duty for an “originating good” in accordance with the Agreement’s origin rules.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Four (Customs Administration)

2. Implementing Bill

No statutory changes will be required to implement Chapter 4.

3. Administrative Action

a. **Inquiry Point**

Article 4.1.2 of the Agreement requires each government to designate an inquiry point for inquiries from interested persons on customs matters. The U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection will serve as the U.S. inquiry point for this purpose. Consistent with Article 4.1.2, the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection will post information on the Internet at “www.cbp.gov” on how interested persons can make customs-related inquiries.

b. **Advance Rulings**

Treasury regulations for advance rulings under Article 4.3 of the Agreement (on classification, valuation, origin, and qualification as an “originating good”) will parallel in most respects existing regulations in Part 177 of the Customs Regulations for obtaining advance rulings. For example, a ruling may be relied on provided that the facts and circumstances represented in the ruling are complete and do not change. The regulations will make provision for modifications and revocations as well as for delaying the effective date of a modification where the firm in question has relied on an existing ruling. Advance rulings under the Agreement will be issued within 120 days of receipt of all information reasonably required to process the application for the ruling.

Chapter Five (Textiles and Apparel)

1. Implementing Bill

a. Enforcement Against Circumvention

In addition to lowering barriers to trade in textile and apparel goods, the Agreement includes strict anti-circumvention provisions designed to deter false claims that textile and apparel goods are “originating goods” and false marking of such goods as “products of Singapore.” Under Chapter 5 of the Agreement, the United States may impose trade penalties to combat circumvention of customs rules and procedures relating to trade in textile and apparel goods from Singapore. As defined in Article 5.11.1 of the Agreement, “circumvention” means **“providing a false declaration or false information for the purpose of, or with the effect of, violating or evading” laws relating to trade in textile or apparel goods.**

As set out in Articles 5.4.5, 5.5.5, and 5.8.2 of the Agreement, the United States may respond to circumvention and certain actions that impede the detection of circumvention by excluding textile and apparel shipments from particular producers or exporters, by denying preferential tariff treatment under the Agreement to specific textile or apparel goods, or by limiting imports of textile and apparel goods from Singapore more generally. In particular, the Agreement authorizes the United States to impose sanctions with respect to trade in textile and apparel goods in three circumstances.

First, under Article 5.4.5 of the Agreement, the United States may deny entry to textile or apparel goods produced or exported by a Singaporean enterprise, where a responsible person at that enterprise has refused permission for U.S. officials to conduct a site visit for purposes of verifying origin. The United States may continue to apply this sanction until U.S. officials determine that the enterprise’s production of and capability to produce textile or apparel goods is consistent with claims that textile or apparel goods it produces or has produced are originating goods or products of Singapore.

Second, under Article 5.5.5(b) of the Agreement, the United States may deny entry to textile or apparel goods produced or exported by a Singaporean enterprise, where the United States finds that the enterprise has engaged in intentional circumvention. In the case of an initial finding, this sanction may apply for up to six months. In the case of a second finding, it may apply for up to two years. In the case of a subsequent finding, it may apply for up to two years, starting from the date on which any previous sanction expires.

Third, under Article 5.8.2 of the Agreement, where the United States and Singapore have engaged in consultations on circumvention, the consultations have failed to yield a mutually satisfactory solution, and the United States has presented to Singapore clear evidence that circumvention has occurred, the United States may impose two types of sanctions. It may

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

reduce the quantity of textile and apparel goods imported from Singapore by an amount up to three times the quantity of goods involved in the circumvention. Additionally, it may deny preferential tariff treatment to the goods involved in the circumvention, and it may deny such treatment (for up to four years) to any textile or apparel goods produced by an enterprise found to have engaged in such circumvention, including any successor of the enterprise and any other entity owned or operated by a principal of the enterprise that produces textile or apparel goods.

Authority to reduce the quantity of textile and apparel goods imported from Singapore in the circumstances described in Article 5.8.2 of the Agreement already exists under current law, in section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956 (7 U.S.C. 1854) as amended.

Authority to impose the other textile and apparel sanctions provided for in the Agreement is established in section 205 of the implementing bill. Section 205 provides the President with authority to apply these measures in the circumstances described in Chapter 5 of the Agreement. In particular, the new provision authorizes the President to bar textile and apparel goods from an exporter or producer that has engaged in intentional circumvention or refused permission for U.S. officials to conduct a verification visit at its facilities in Singapore. It also authorizes the President to take action against circumvention that has not been addressed through bilateral cooperation by denying preferential tariff treatment for the goods subject to the circumvention and for other textile and apparel goods produced by the enterprise found to have engaged in the circumvention (as well as any successor enterprise and any other entity owned or operated by a principal of the enterprise, if the principal also is a principal of the other entity).

b. Textile and Apparel Safeguard

Article 5.9 of the Agreement establishes a special procedure and remedies available to domestic textile and apparel industries that have sustained or are threatened by serious damage from imports of textile and apparel goods that enjoy preferential tariff rates under the Agreement. The Administration does not anticipate that the Agreement will result in injurious increases in textile and apparel imports from Singapore. Nevertheless, the Agreement's textile and apparel safeguard procedure will ensure that relief is available if needed.

The safeguard mechanism applies when, as a result of the reduction or elimination of a customs duty under the Agreement, textile or apparel goods from Singapore are being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, in absolute or relative terms, and under such conditions as to constitute a substantial cause of serious damage or actual threat thereof to a U.S. industry producing like or directly competitive products. **In these circumstances, Article 5.9 permits the United States to suspend duty reductions on the imported goods or to increase duties on the goods to a level that does not exceed the lesser of the prevailing U.S. normal trade relations/most-favored-nation ("NTR/MFN") duty rate for the good or the U.S. NTR/MFN duty rate in effect at the time the Agreement entered into force.**

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Subtitle B of Title III of the bill (sections 321 through 328) implements the Agreement's textile and apparel safeguard.

Section 321(a) establishes that an interested party may file with the President a request for a textile and apparel safeguard measure. The President is to review a request initially to determine whether to commence consideration of the request on its merits. If the President determines that the request contains information necessary to warrant consideration on the merits then, under section 321(b), the President must provide notice in the *Federal Register* stating that the request will be considered and seeking public comments on the request. The notice will contain the request itself and the dates by which comments and rebuttals must be received.

If the President considers a request under section 321, section 322(a) of the bill provides for the President to determine, pursuant to a request by an interested party, whether, as a result of the reduction or elimination of a duty provided for under the Agreement, a Singaporean textile or apparel article is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities, in absolute terms or relative to the domestic market for that article, and under such conditions that imports of the article constitute a substantial cause of serious damage or actual threat thereof, to a domestic industry producing an article that is like, or directly competitive with, the imported article. This determination corresponds to the determination required under Article 5.9.1 of the Agreement.

Section 322(a) of the bill includes criteria for determining serious damage or actual threat thereof, consistent with Article 5.9.2 of the Agreement. It also includes a definition of "substantial cause," consistent with Article 5.9.8(a) of the Agreement.

Section 322(b) of the bill identifies the relief that the President may provide to a U.S. industry that the President determines is facing serious damage or actual threat thereof. Such relief may consist of a suspension of tariff reductions or an increase in tariffs to the lower of: 1) the NTR/MFN duty rate in place for the textile or apparel article at the time the relief is granted; or 2) the NTR/MFN duty rate for that article on the day before the Agreement enters into force.

Section 323 of the bill provides that the initial period of relief under the textile and apparel safeguard shall be no longer than two years. That period may be extended if the President determines that an extension is necessary to **remedy or prevent serious damage and to facilitate adjustment**, and that the domestic industry is, in fact, adjusting to import competition. The total period of relief, including any extension, may not exceed four years.

Section 324 of the bill provides that relief may not be granted to an article under the textile and apparel safeguard if relief previously has been granted to that article under this

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

safeguard.

Section 325 of the bill provides that the duty rate applicable to a textile or apparel article after a safeguard expires will be the duty rate that would have been in force on that date, but for application of the safeguard.

Section 326 of the bill provides that authority to provide relief under the textile and apparel safeguard will expire ten years after the textile and apparel provisions of the Agreement take effect pursuant to Article 5.10 of the Agreement.

Under Article 5.9.5 of the Agreement, if the United States provides relief to a domestic industry under the textile and apparel safeguard, it must provide Singapore “mutually agreed trade liberalizing compensation in the form of concessions having substantially equivalent trade effects or equivalent to the value of the additional duties expected to result from the [safeguard].” If the United States and Singapore are unable to agree on trade liberalizing compensation after 30 days of consultations, Singapore may take countermeasures (*i.e.*, increase tariffs) on U.S. textile and apparel articles. However, Singapore may not exercise that right during the first 24 months that a textile and apparel safeguard measure is in place, provided that measure has been imposed due to an absolute (as opposed to relative) increase in imports of the subject article.

Section 123 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2133), as amended, authorizes the President to provide trade compensation for global safeguard measures taken pursuant to chapter 1 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974. Section 327 of the implementing bill extends that authority to measures taken pursuant to the Agreement’s textile and apparel safeguard provisions.

Finally, section 328 of the bill provides that business confidential information submitted in the course of consideration of a request for a textile and apparel safeguard may not be released absent the consent of the party providing the information. It also provides that a party submitting business confidential information in a textile and apparel safeguard proceeding must submit a non-confidential version of the information or a summary of the information.

2. Administrative Action

a. Enforcement Against Circumvention

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (“CITA”), an interagency entity created by Executive Order 11651 that carries out certain textile trade policies for the United States, will exercise the authority to impose sanctions established in section 205 of the implementing bill and in current U.S. law. Where customs officials find that a Singaporean

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

enterprise has engaged in circumvention or failed to cooperate in a verification, they will refer the matter to CITA, which will determine the appropriate response (*e.g.*, denial of preferential tariff treatment, denial of entry, or other sanction authorized under the Agreement and U.S. law), after consultations with the Government of Singapore and instruct U.S. customs officials accordingly.

b. Textile and Apparel Safeguard

The function of receiving requests for textile and apparel safeguard measures under section 321 of the bill, making determinations of serious damage or actual threat thereof under section 322(a), and providing relief under section 322(b) will be performed by CITA, pursuant to a delegation of the President's authority under the bill.

Chapter Six (Technical Barriers to Trade)

1. Implementing Bill

No statutory changes will be required to implement Chapter 6.

2. Administrative Action

Article 6.2.2 of the Agreement calls for each government to designate an official to coordinate with interested parties in its territory on bilateral cooperative initiatives regarding technical barriers to trade (“TBT”) and to communicate with the other government on such matters. An official of the Office of the United States Trade Representative responsible for TBT matters or trade relations with Singapore will serve as the U.S. Chapter 6 coordinator.

Article 6.3.1 of the Agreement calls for each government to take steps to implement Phase I and Phase II of the APEC Mutual Recognition Arrangement for Conformity Assessment of Telecommunications Equipment with respect to the other Party. To fulfill U.S. obligations under the article, the Office of the United States Trade Representative will enter into an exchange of letters with the Government of Singapore specifying procedures to be followed for the mutual acceptance of test data from each country.

Chapter Seven (Safeguards)

1. **Implementing Bill**

Subtitle A of Title III of the bill implements in U.S. law the bilateral safeguard provisions set out in Chapter 7 of the Agreement. Subtitle C of Title III of the bill implements the global safeguard provisions in Chapter 7 of the Agreement. (As discussed under Chapter Five, above, Subtitle B of Title III of the bill implements the textile and apparel safeguard provisions of Chapter 5 of the Agreement.)

a. **Bilateral Safeguard Measures**

Sections 311 through 316 of the bill authorize the President, after an investigation and affirmative determination by the U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”), to suspend duty reductions or impose duties temporarily at NTR/MFN rates on “Singaporean articles” when, as a result of the reduction or elimination of a duty under the Agreement, the article is being imported into the United States in such increased quantities and under such conditions as to be a substantial cause of serious injury or threat of serious injury to a domestic industry that produces a like or directly competitive product. The standards and procedures set out in these provisions closely parallel the procedures set forth in sections 201-204 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Section 301(2) defines the term “Singaporean article” for purposes of the safeguard provisions to mean a good qualifying as an “originating good” under section 202(a) of the bill.

Section 301(3) defines the term “Singaporean textile or apparel article” as an article listed in the Annex to the WTO Agreement on Textiles and Clothing that is also a Singaporean article, as defined in section 301(2).

Section 311 provides for the filing of petitions with the ITC and for the ITC to conduct bilateral safeguards investigations. Section 311(a) provides that a petition requesting a bilateral safeguard action may be filed with the ITC by an entity that is “representative of an industry.” As under section 202(a)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974, the term “entity” is defined to include a trade association, firm, certified or recognized union, or a group of workers.

In addition, section 311(a) permits a petitioning entity to request provisional relief as if the petition had been filed under section 202(a) of the Trade Act of 1974.

Section 311(a) requires that any claim of “critical circumstances” with respect to a surge of imports from Singapore be included in the petition for relief. A claim of critical

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

circumstances is a necessary element in a claim for provisional relief under Article 7.3 of the Agreement. It also is a necessary element in a claim for provisional relief under section 202(d)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974, which is made applicable to bilateral safeguards under the Agreement through section 311(c) of the bill.

Section 311(b) sets out the standard to be used by the ITC in undertaking an investigation and making a determination in bilateral safeguard proceedings.

Section 311(c) makes applicable by reference several provisions of the Trade Act of 1974. These are the definition of “substantial cause” in section 202(b)(1)(B), the factors listed in section 202(c) applied in making determinations, the provisional relief provisions in section 202(d), the hearing requirement of section 202(b)(3), and the provisions of section 202(i) permitting confidential business information to be made available under protective order to authorized representatives of parties to a safeguard investigation.

Section 311(d) exempts from investigation under this section Singaporean articles that have been the basis previously for according relief to an industry, after the Agreement’s entry into force, under: the bilateral safeguard provisions; the textile and apparel safeguard provisions set out in Subtitle B of Title III of the bill; the global safeguard provisions in chapter 1 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974; Article 6 of the WTO Agreement on Textiles and Clothing; or Article 5 of the WTO Agreement on Agriculture.

Section 312(a) establishes deadlines for ITC determinations following an investigation under section 311(b). The ITC must make its injury determination within 120 days of the date on which it initiates an investigation (or 180 days if critical circumstances are alleged).

Section 312(b) makes applicable the provisions of section 330(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, which will apply when the ITC Commissioners are equally divided on the question of injury or remedy.

Under section 312(c), if the ITC makes an affirmative determination under section 312(a), it must find and recommend to the President the amount of import relief that is necessary to remedy or prevent the serious injury and to facilitate the efforts of the domestic industry to make a positive adjustment to import competition. The relief that may be recommended by the ITC is limited to that authorized in section 313(c). Similar to procedures under the global safeguards provisions in current law, section 312(c) of the bill provides that only those members of the ITC who agreed to the affirmative determination under section 312(a) may vote on the recommendation of relief under section 312(c).

Under section 312(d), the ITC is required to transmit a report to the President not later than 30 days after making its injury determination. The ITC’s report must include: the

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

ITC's determination under section 312(a) and the reasons therefore; if the determination under section 312(a) is affirmative or may be considered to be affirmative by the President, any findings and recommendations for import relief and an explanation of the basis for each recommendation; and any dissenting or separate views of ITC Commissioners. Section 312(e) requires the ITC to publish its report promptly and to publish a summary of the report in the Federal Register.

Under section 313(a) of the bill, the President is directed, subject to section 313(b), to take action not later than 30 days after receiving a report from the ITC containing an affirmative determination or a determination that the President may consider to be an affirmative determination. The President must provide import relief to the extent that the President determines is necessary to remedy or prevent the injury found by the ITC and to facilitate the efforts of the domestic industry to make a positive adjustment to import competition. Under section 313(b), the President is not required to provide import relief if the President determines that the relief will not provide greater economic and social benefits than costs.

Section 313(c) sets forth the nature of the relief that the President may provide. In general, the President may take action in the form of:

- a suspension of further reductions in the rate of duty to be applied to the articles in question; or
- an increase in the rate of duty on the articles in question to a level that does not exceed the lesser of the existing NTR/MFN rate or the NTR/MFN rate of duty imposed at the time the Agreement entered into force.

If the relief the President provides has a duration greater than one year, the relief must be subject to progressive liberalization at regular intervals over the course of its application.

Section 313(d) provides that the initial period for import relief under the bilateral safeguard will not exceed two years. The President may extend the period of import relief if the President determines that continuation of relief is necessary to remedy or prevent serious injury and to facilitate adjustment to import competition, and that there is evidence that the industry is making a positive adjustment to import competition. That determination must follow an affirmative determination by the ITC to the same effect. However, the aggregate period of import relief, including extensions, may not exceed four years.

Section 313(e) specifies the duty rate to be applied to Singaporean articles after termination of a bilateral safeguard action. It provides that the rate of duty shall be the rate that would have been in effect on the date of termination of the action, but for the safeguard action.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Section 314 provides that the President's authority to take action under the bilateral safeguards provision expires ten years after the date on which the Agreement enters into force. The President may take action under the bilateral safeguards provision after the ten-year period, but only to the extent the President determines that the Government of Singapore consents.

Section 315 allows the President to provide trade compensation to Singapore, as required under Chapter 7 of the Agreement, when the United States imposes relief through a bilateral safeguard action. Section 315 provides that for purposes of section 123 of the Trade Act of 1974, which allows the President to provide compensation for global safeguards, any relief provided under section 313 will be treated as an action taken under the global safeguard provisions of U.S. law (sections 201-204 of the Trade Act of 1974).

Section 316 amends section 202(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 to provide that the procedures in section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 with respect to the release of confidential business information are to apply to bilateral safeguard investigations.

The Administration has not provided classified information to the ITC in past safeguard proceedings and does not expect to provide such information in future proceedings. In the unlikely event that the Administration provides classified information to the ITC in such proceedings, that information would be protected from publication in accordance with Executive Order 12958.

b. Global Safeguard Measures

Section 331 of the bill implements the global safeguard provisions of Article 7.5 of the Agreement. It authorizes the President, in granting global import relief under sections 201-204 of the Trade Act of 1974, to exclude imports from Singapore when certain conditions are present.

Section 331(a) requires the ITC to make special findings with respect to imports from Singapore if the ITC makes an affirmative determination in a global safeguard investigation under section 202(b) of the Trade Act of 1974. In that case, the ITC must find and report to the President whether imports from Singapore are a substantial cause of serious injury or threat thereof. Under section 331(b), if the President in turn finds that imports from Singapore are not a substantial cause of serious injury, the President may exclude imports from Singapore from a global safeguard action. The term "imports from Singapore" as used in this section differs from the terms "originating good" and "Singaporean article" used elsewhere in the implementing bill. The Administration intends to interpret "imports from Singapore" in the same manner as it interprets "imports from Jordan" as provided in section 221 of the United States-Jordan Free Trade Area Implementation Act and "imports from a NAFTA country" as provided in section 312 of the NAFTA Implementation Act.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

2. Administrative Action

No administrative changes will be required to implement Chapter 7.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Eight (Cross-Border Trade in Services)

Chapter 8. No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Nine (Telecommunications)

Chapter 9. No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Ten (Financial Services)

No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement Chapter 10.

Chapter Eleven (Temporary Entry of Business Persons)

1. Implementing Bill

Title IV of the bill implements U.S. commitments under Chapter 11 of the Agreement, which governs the temporary entry of businesspersons. In general, Chapter 11 is consistent with existing provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act (“INA”). The four categories of persons eligible for admission under the Agreement’s expedited procedures correspond to existing INA nonimmigrant and related classifications.

In order to provide for the admission of business visitors and intra-company transferees, no changes in U.S. statutes are required. Limited technical changes are needed to provide for the admission of traders and investors and professionals. Legislation is also required to implement Article 11.4.2 of the Agreement regarding labor disputes.

a. **Traders and Investors**

Under Section II of Annex 11A of the Agreement, citizens of Singapore are eligible for temporary entry as traders and investors. This category provides for admission under requirements identical to those governing admission under INA section 101(a)(15)(E) (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(E)), which permits entry for persons to carry on substantial trade in goods or services or to develop and direct investment operations.

Section 101(a)(15)(E) currently conditions admission into the United States upon authorization pursuant to a treaty of commerce and navigation. Since the Agreement is not a treaty of commerce and navigation, and no such treaty exists between the United States and Singapore, legislation is necessary to accord treaty trader and investor status to Singaporean citizens qualifying for entry under Section II.

Section 401 of the bill does not amend section 101(a)(15)(E). Instead, it uses a mechanism similar to that provided in section 341(a) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, which in turn was based upon the Act of June 18, 1954 (68 Stat. 264, 8 U.S.C. 1184a). The Act of June 18, 1954 conferred treaty trader and investor status upon nationals of the Philippines on a basis of reciprocity secured by an agreement entered into by the President of the United States and the President of the Philippines.

b. **Professionals**

Section 402 of the bill amends paragraph (8) of section 214(g) of the INA, as added by the bill implementing the United States-Chile Free Trade Agreement. New INA section 214(g)(8) lists trade agreements pursuant to which certain aliens are entitled to enter the United States as nonimmigrant professionals. Their status as nonimmigrant

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

professionals and the conditions that apply to that status are described in new INA section 101(a)(15)(W), also added by the bill implementing the United States-Chile Free Trade Agreement. New INA section 214(g)(8) also identifies annual numerical limits on approvals of initial applications by aliens for admission to the United States under section 101(a)(15)(W).

Section 402 of the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement Implementation bill adds the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement to the agreements listed in INA section 214(g)(8). It also adds to INA section 214(g)(8) the annual numerical limit for approvals of initial applications under the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement.

What follows is an explanation of the amendments to the INA made by the bill implementing the United States-Chile Free Trade Agreement (“the Chile bill”). This explanation is provided for convenience, in light of the expectation that the bill implementing the United States-Chile Free Trade Agreement will be considered concurrently with the bill implementing the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement.

Section 402(a) of the Chile bill amends section 101(a)(15) of the INA (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)), which defines categories of persons entitled to enter the United States as nonimmigrants. Section 402(a) of the bill inserts new subparagraph (W) at the end of INA section 101(a)(15). Subparagraph (W) establishes a new category of aliens entitled to enter the United States temporarily as nonimmigrants. These aliens are citizens of countries with which the United States has entered into free trade agreements listed in INA section 214(g)(8)(A), as amended by the Chile bill, and who seek to come to the United States temporarily to engage in business activities at the professional level. Entry into the United States under subparagraph (W) would be subject to annual numerical limits established by the Secretary of Homeland Security as provided for in the applicable agreement, and as set forth in INA section 214(g)(8)(B), as added by the Chile bill. The Department of Labor will also issue regulations governing temporary entry of professionals under this new provision of law. This amendment to the INA implements Section IV of Annex 11A of the Agreement.

New INA section 101(a)(15)(W) also provides for the entry of spouses and children accompanying or following to join businesspersons entering under this category. The purpose of this provision is to grant express authorization for the admission of such persons, but not allow them to be employed in the United States unless they independently meet all applicable INA requirements.

Persons seeking temporary entry into the United States under section 101(a)(15)(W) will be:

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

- considered to be seeking nonimmigrant status;
- subject to general requirements relating to admission of nonimmigrants, including those pertaining to the issuance of entry documents and the presumption set out in INA section 214(b) (8 U.S.C. 1184(b)); and
- accorded nonimmigrant status on admission.

This treatment also codifies current practice.

It should be noted that while there are many similarities in the way professionals would be treated under section 101(a)(15)(W) of the INA, as added by this bill, and the way H-1B professionals are treated, a determination of admissibility under subparagraph (W) will neither foreclose nor establish eligibility for entry as an H-1B professional. Further, section 101(a)(15)(W) does not authorize a professional to establish a business or practice in the United States in which the professional will be self-employed.

c. Numerical Limitations

Paragraph 7 of Section IV of Annex 11A of the Agreement permits the United States to establish an annual numerical limit on temporary entries under the Agreement of Singaporean professionals. Under new paragraph (8) of INA section 214(g) as added by section 402(a) of the Chile bill, the Secretary of Homeland Security will issue regulations establishing an annual limit of up to 5,400 new temporary entry applications from Singaporean professionals, as provided in Appendix 11A.3 of the Agreement.

d. Labor Attestations

Under an exchange of letters between the United States and Singapore that accompanies the Agreement, the United States may require that an attestation of compliance with labor and immigration laws be made as a condition for the temporary entry of Singaporean professionals. This provision allows U.S. labor and immigration officials to ensure that U.S. employers are not hiring Singaporean professionals as a way to put pressure on U.S. employees to accept lower wages or less favorable terms and conditions of employment.

Section 402(b) of the Chile bill implements an attestation requirement consistent with the exchange of letters between the United States and Singapore. Section 402(b) of the Chile bill amends section 212 of the INA (8 U.S.C. 1182) by adding a new subsection (t) to the end of that section.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

INA section 212(t)(1), as added by section 402(b) of the Chile bill, requires a U.S. employer seeking a temporary entry visa for a Singaporean professional to file an attestation with the Secretary of Labor. The attestation will consist of four core elements similar to those required for attestations under the “H-1B” visa program. See 8 U.S.C. 1182(n)(1)(A)-(C). Thus, an employer must attest that:

- It will pay the employee the higher of (a) the actual wage paid to all other individuals with similar experience and qualifications for the specific employment in question, or (b) the prevailing wage level for the occupational classification in the area of employment.
- It will provide working conditions for the employee that will not adversely affect the working conditions of workers similarly employed.
- There is no strike or lockout in the course of a labor dispute in the occupational classification at the place of employment.
- The employer has provided notice of its attestation to its employees’ bargaining representative in the occupational classification in the area for which the employee is sought or, absent such a representative, has otherwise notified its employees.

The remainder of new INA section 212(t) contains provisions for enforcing the labor attestation requirement. Like the contents of the attestation itself, the enforcement requirements are based on requirements under the “H-1B” visa program.

INA section 212(t)(2)(A) requires an employer to make copies of labor attestations (and such accompanying documents as are necessary) available for public examination at the employer’s principal place of business or worksite.

INA section 212(t)(2)(B) requires the Secretary of Labor to compile a list of all labor attestations filed including, with respect to each attestation, the wage rate, number of alien professionals sought for employment, period of intended employment, and date of need. These lists will be available for public examination at the Department of Labor in Washington, D.C.

INA section 212(t)(2)(C) provides that the Secretary of Labor shall accept a labor attestation within seven days of filing and issue the certification necessary for an alien to enter the United States as a nonimmigrant under INA section 101(a)(15)(W), unless the attestation is incomplete or obviously inaccurate.

INA section 212(t)(3)(A) requires the Secretary of Labor to establish a process for the receipt, investigation, and disposition of complaints respecting an employer’s failure to meet

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

a condition specified in a labor attestation or an employer's misrepresentation of material facts in such an attestation. Section 212(t)(3) also sets forth penalties that may be imposed for violation of the labor attestation requirements, including monetary fines and denial of applications for visas under INA section 101(a)(15)(W) for specified periods.

INA section 212(t)(4) defines certain terms used in INA section 212(t).

e. Labor Disputes

Article 11.4.2 of the Agreement establishes an important safeguard for the domestic labor force in the United States and Singapore, respectively. It permits either government to refuse to issue an immigration document authorizing employment where the temporary entry of a businessperson **might affect adversely the settlement of a labor dispute or the employment of a person involved in such dispute.** Article 11.4.2 thus allows the United States to deny temporary entry to a Singaporean business person whose activities in the United States require employment authorization if admission might interfere with an ongoing labor dispute. If the United States invokes Article 11.4.2, it must inform the businessperson in writing of the reasons for its action and notify Singapore.

Section 403 of the Chile bill amends INA section 214(j) (8 U.S.C. 1184(j)), designating current subsection (j) as paragraph (1) and inserting a new paragraph (2). The amendment made by the Chile bill, described below, is consistent with Article 11.4.2 of the United States-Singapore Free Trade Agreement. Accordingly, no further amendment is required to implement that provision.

New paragraph (2) of INA section 214(j) provides authority to refuse nonimmigrant classification under specified circumstances to a Singaporean businessperson seeking to enter the United States under and pursuant to the Agreement. In particular, nonimmigrant classification must be refused if there is a strike or lockout affecting the relevant occupational classification at the Singaporean business person's place of employment or intended place of employment in the United States, unless that person establishes, pursuant to regulations issued by the Secretary of Homeland Security after consultations with the Secretary of Labor, that the business person's entry will not adversely affect the settlement of the strike or lockout or the employment of any person involved in the strike or lockout.

[[New paragraph (2) of INA section 214(j) makes an exception with respect to an employer's attestation under new INA section 212(t)(1) that there is no strike or lockout in the course of a labor dispute in the occupational classification at the place of employment. Where an employer has made such an attestation, section 214(j)(2) will not apply.]]

New paragraph (2) also requires the provision of notice to the affected Singaporean businesspersons and to Singapore of a determination to deny nonimmigrant

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

classification, as required under Article 11.4.3 of the Agreement.

INA section 214(j)(2) as inserted by the Chile bill applies only to requests for temporary entry by traders and investors, intra-company transferees, and professionals — *i.e.*, the categories of nonimmigrants that require employment authorization under U.S. law (corresponding to Sections II, III, and IV of Annex 11A of the Agreement). **Employment in the U.S. labor market is not permitted for business visitors, as defined in INA section 101(a)(15)(B) (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(B)) (corresponding to Section I of Annex 11A of the Agreement); violations of status under that provision that involve labor disputes are fully redressable under existing law.**

Section 214(j)(2) is similar to existing INA provisions that prohibit admission in certain circumstances where interference with a labor dispute may result. For example, under INA section 212(n)(1)(B) (8 U.S.C. 1182(n)(1)(B)), the U.S. employer sponsoring an alien for admission must certify that there is no strike or lockout in the occupational classification at the place of employment. Additionally, section 214(j)(2) will supplement INA section 237(a)(1)(C) (8 U.S.C. 1227(a)(1)(C)) and related INA provisions that now authorize deportation of an alien admitted under a particular nonimmigrant category if the alien ceases to perform the type of work permitted under that category or misrepresented the nature of the work at the time of admission. The Department of Labor will provide strike certifications to the Department of Homeland Security, as it has provided to the Immigration and Naturalization Service under existing provisions, pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(17).

2. Administrative Action

Singapore will be added to the list of countries, maintained by the Department of State, whose citizens are eligible for treaty trader and treaty investor status under INA section 101(a)(15)(E).

With respect to professionals provided for under Section IV of Annex 11A of the Agreement, in all cases where a state license is required to engage in a particular activity in the United States, such professionals will be required to obtain the appropriate state license.

Pursuant to INA section 101(a)(15)(W) as added by section 402(a) of the Chile bill, the Secretary of Homeland Security will issue regulations implementing the numerical limits set forth in Appendix 11A.3 of the Agreement. The Secretary of Labor will issue regulations implementing the labor attestation provisions in new subsection (t) of INA section 212. The administrative agencies responsible for administering the other amendments to the INA described above will promulgate regulations to implement those amendments.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Article 11.7.1 of the Agreement calls for each government to designate a temporary entry coordinator. The Department of Homeland Security will serve as the U.S. coordinator.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

**Chapter Twelve (Anticompetitive Business Conduct,
Designated Monopolies, and Government Enterprises)**

Chapter 12. No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Thirteen (Government Procurement)

1. Implementing Bill

No statutory changes will be required to implement Chapter 13.

2. Administrative Action

Annex 13A of the Agreement establishes thresholds for procurements above which U.S. Government procuring entities must allow Singaporean suppliers to bid in accordance with the rules set forth in Chapter 13 of the Agreement. These thresholds are lower than the thresholds under the WTO Agreement on Government Procurement (to which both the United States and Singapore are party). The Office of the United States Trade Representative will notify the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Council of the new thresholds. The FAR Council will then incorporate the new thresholds into the FAR.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Fourteen (Electronic Commerce)

No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement Chapter 14.

Chapter Fifteen (Investment)

1. Implementing Bill

Section 106 of the bill authorizes the United States to use binding arbitration to resolve claims covered by Article 15.15.1(a)(i)(C) or Article 15.15.1(b)(i)(C) of the Agreement. Articles 15.15.1(a)(i)(C) and 15.15.1(b)(i)(C) implicate disputes over government contracts, and section 106 of the bill clarifies that the United States consents to the arbitration of such disputes. No statutory authorization is required for the United States to engage in binding arbitration for other claims covered by Article 15.15. Provisions allowing arbitration of contract claims have regularly been included in U.S. bilateral investment treaties over recent decades.

Section 106 also states that all contracts executed by any agency of the United States on or after the date of entry into force of the Agreement shall contain a clause specifying the law that will apply to resolve any breach of contract claim in the event such a claim is submitted to binding arbitration.

2. Administrative Action

The Administration will examine the need for additional regulatory or other administrative measures that may be necessary to ensure that contracts to which the United States is a party include appropriate choice of law provisions.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Sixteen (Intellectual Property Rights)

No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement Chapter 16.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Seventeen (Labor)

1. Implementing Bill

No statutory changes will be required to implement Chapter 17.

2. Administrative Action

Article 17.4.2 of the Agreement calls for each government to designate an office to serve as the contact point for implementing the Agreement's labor provisions. The Department of Labor's Bureau of International Affairs will serve as the U.S. contact point for this purpose.

Draft [July 8, 2003; 4:20 pm]

Chapter Eighteen (Environment)

No statutory or administrative changes will be required to implement Chapter 18.