Good afternoon. Thank you Chairman Mike Thompson, Ranking Member Mike Kelly, and all of the distinguished Members of the Select Revenue Measures subcommittee for the opportunity to testify at today's hearing. A special thank you to Ways and Means Committee member, Michigan Congressman Dan Kildee, for his steadfast leadership and support. I would also like to thank your respective staff members for their dedicated work on this issue. This is an important topic, and I am pleased this subcommittee has chosen to devote today’s hearing to the benefits of infrastructure investment, especially as it relates to the safety of our nation’s drinking water and public health.

I would like to begin by heralding the bipartisan Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act as landmark legislation. As you know, the Act includes nearly $36 billion in investments in EPA’s programs for drinking water infrastructure. This includes $30.7 billion to be allocated to states to disburse to applicants, divided as follows: $15 billion for lead service line replacement for the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF); $11.7 billion for general DWSRF funds, and $4 billion for PFAS/emerging contaminants allocated to the DWSRF. There is an additional $5 billion in targeted funding for EPA’s Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) grant program to assist drinking water systems in small and disadvantaged communities to address PFAS/emerging contaminants.

In regards to lead in water, the $15 billion for lead service line replacement is a game changer; it is the largest federal investment ever in lead water elimination. While I will discuss the economic impact of this federal infrastructure investment, as a public health pediatrician, I would be remiss if I did not first share the impact of this investment on the true foundation of our country - not pipes, nor roads, nor bridges - but our children.

FLINT & LEAD
As a pediatrician in Flint, Michigan, it is the greatest privilege of my life to be able to wake up everyday to care for Flint kids. Much of that work centers around making sure our kids are healthy today; but more importantly, my work is nestled in protecting and promoting the promise of our children’s futures. Yet in Flint, there was something in our water - something that you couldn’t see or taste or smell - that was threatening the potential of our children. By now, you all know that what happened in Flint was the signature environmental disaster of our time - it is the emblematic example of the consequences of infrastructure disinvestment and environmental racism. In a breakdown of democracy and driven by austerity, our drinking water source was switched without proper corrosion control treatment. The water was so...
corrosive that it corroded engine parts at a Flint auto plant. The corrosive water leached lead from our aged and outsized infrastructure into our drinking water, in the hundreds and thousands of parts per billion. Hazardous waste levels of lead.

Science has taught us that there is no safe level of lead exposure. A well-studied poison, lead is an irreversible neurotoxin - with lifelong, multisystem, and multigenerational impacts. Lead exposure diminishes intelligence, alters development and behavior, impacts growth and hearing and decades later, can manifest in adults with hypertension, kidney disease, dementia and more. Besides the well-recognized neurodevelopmental and health implications of lead exposure, it can also cause adverse pregnancy outcomes including fetal death, prematurity, and small birth weight.

I wish there was something I could prescribe to take away what happened in Flint; but when it comes to lead, the only cure is prevention. And that is why in Flint, after our infrastructure failure, our work has been about moving forward, to mitigate the impact of the crisis and to create a sanctuary where our children can recover and thrive. We are grateful for Congress’s continued, bipartisan support of Flint’s recovery, especially with your support of the CDC-funded Flint Registry - a built public health infrastructure asset to identify and support victims of the Flint water crisis and to share our best practices with similarly impacted communities.

FLINTS EVERYWHERE: A HISTORIC FAILURE

Our decades-long inaction to invest in infrastructure failed the children of Flint. However, our work has also been about shining a spotlight on the pervasive inadequacy of our drinking water infrastructure. The overall state of our country’s
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drinking water infrastructure is a national public health crisis. Flint’s water crisis was an extreme case, but it was not the first, the last, or the worst. Here, in our nation’s capital, there was an even worse lead-in-water crisis in the early 2000s. That crisis, at the heart of policy making, should have prompted the removal of lead pipes nationwide and robust investment in our drinking water infrastructure.

The United States was stubbornly slow to limit the use of lead in plumbing. The lead industry, the water industry, and even the plumbers’ unions fought regulations. Lead service lines were not restricted until 1986. Chicago, which has the most known lead service lines in the country at an estimated 400,000, was still requiring their installation until 1986. Because there has been no regulatory requirement of a lead service line inventory, there is not an accurate national count. However, there are an estimated 6.5 to 10 million lead service lines nationally, with the greatest concentration of lead lines in the Midwest and Northeast. Lead is in other plumbing materials, like solder, fixtures and faucets; however, when a lead service line is present, it is the greatest contributor of lead in the water.

With so much lead in our national drinking water delivery system and with a chronically lax Lead and Copper Rule that does not even strive for lead-free water, millions of children continue to drink water through poisonous lead straws. The recommended best practice for lead exposure is primary prevention - eliminating lead in the environment before a child is exposed. The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act is primary prevention; it is exactly what needs to be done to protect children - eliminating the source of lead before baby bottles and sippy cups are filled with lead-tainted water.

Beyond lead pipes, our overall drinking water infrastructure is old, fragile, and underfunded; and a constant threat to our public health. According to the American Society of Civil Engineers, the United States’ drinking water infrastructure gets a
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barely passing grade of “C-.” Many remote, rural, and tribal communities completely lack access to safe and reliable drinking water. Emerging contaminants, like the “forever chemicals” PFAS, are increasingly being recognized throughout our nation’s drinking water; Michigan has the most identified PFAS contaminated drinking water sites in the country (largely due to aggressive testing). PFAS exposure has been associated with multiple health conditions including cancer, immunodeficiency, and hormone disruption. In addition, our outdated and poor water infrastructure system is increasingly stressed by climate change-induced extreme weather conditions. For example, the recent power outages associated with the “Texas Freeze” resulted in the disruption of 800 public water systems servicing 13.1 million people. The images of water bottles being used for bathing babies and brushing teeth were eerily similar to Flint’s crisis. Time and time again, failures to invest in our drinking water infrastructure continue to impact our public health.

FLINT HAS BECOME A NATIONAL MODEL: THE TIME IS NOW
As much as the story of Flint is the story of a failure of our drinking water infrastructure and decision making, it is also a story of how we can do better, especially on behalf of our children. That is what we are trying to model in Flint and that is why I am so excited to be here with you today celebrating the benefits of the Infrastructure Law. Following the examples of Madison, Wisconsin and Lansing, Michigan and a handful of other cities, Flint will soon replace all their lead pipes. Another post-crisis city, Newark, New Jersey may finish replacing their lead pipes before Flint. Utilizing the expertise of a workforce of highly skilled plumbers and pipefitters, Flint and Newark are both replacing lead pipes faster than previously thought possible. As a pediatrician, I know that families with good-paying jobs are one of the most potent medications we can prescribe. With an over 60% child poverty rate in Flint, the deleterious consequences of child poverty and income inequality also manifest in the bodies and blunted potential of children. That is why we must require prevailing wages for this important work.

But it shouldn’t take crises to reactively ensure safe drinking water or living wage jobs. As such, Michigan’s model Lead and Copper Rule now mandates the proactive replacement of all the state’s lead pipes by 2041, yet funding for this work at the state and local level was always precarious. Despite best intentions, states and cities have not and cannot afford to do this work alone, nor do they have the capacity and resources to do this work as quickly as possible. Finally, the
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Infrastructure Law provides resources to remove lead service lines and to prevent future generations of children from suffering lead's silent toxicity. It is only with the full funding, requirements, and support of the federal government that the millions of lead pipes in this country can be efficiently replaced. Every day that goes by is a missed opportunity.

In addition to removing lead pipes, the federal funding to upgrade and modernize our drinking water infrastructure is critical. From preventing, identifying, and remediating emerging contaminants like PFAS to ensuring safe drinking water during extreme weather conditions, investments in water infrastructure directly impact our public’s health.

AN EQUITY AND JUSTICE ISSUE

In cities, both rural and urban areas of this country, communities of color are more likely to suffer the environmental and health injustice of poorer water quality and increased drinking water violations. Public water utilities further widen these disparities by charging individuals for lead pipe replacement on their own property, turning safe drinking water into a privileged luxury for affluent residents who can afford it. The consequence is worse quality of water for communities and households without means, which disproportionately impacts low income people and people of color. As an added burden, many of the poorest and most vulnerable individuals spend their limited resources on costly bottled water and filtration systems. In fact, following the Flint water crisis, bottled water outsold soda for the first time in our nation.

It is well recognized that poor and predominantly children of color disproportionately suffer from lead exposure and, consequently, its pernicious and potentially life-altering impacts. In addition to the exposures I’ve highlighted through drinking water, children also face exposure to lead from other sources, including lead-based paint and lead in soil from legacy industrial uses. These exposures compound and add to those from drinking water, and also disproportionately affect children of color and those in low-income families. This means that the deleterious health and neurodevelopmental manifestations of lead exposure are further concentrated in children and communities who are already struggling with other systemic inequities such as historic disinvestment in minority neighborhoods, and access to safe affordable housing, quality education, nutrition, and health care. According to a recent CDC publication, “the

pernicious and irreversible effects of lead exposures perpetuate ongoing structural inequities and injustices.28 Thus, lead exposure can be considered both a result and cause of health inequity and environmental injustice.”29

Drinking water infrastructure work, and especially lead pipe replacement work, should be prioritized in historically disadvantaged communities. This will serve to actively implement the administration’s environmental justice priorities. Drinking water infrastructure investments are not just about pipes and plumbing, but about people and our potential to address historic and socioeconomic disparities.

THE COST OF INACTION & THE RETURN ON INVESTMENT
This hearing is fundamentally about dollars and some have argued about the cost of the Infrastructure Investment Act. I want to emphasize that the significant cost of inaction was unaffordable. Besides the incalculable cost to the health and development of children, the economic costs of preventable lead in water exposure includes lost future worker productivity and earnings and greater health care, mental health, criminal justice, and special education costs. The costs of inaction are borne by everyone, including federal and state government budgets, which are affected by increased health care needs and lost future tax revenues from reduced earnings. When the long-term benefits for children are included in the societal savings of lead pipe elimination, it has been estimated that every lead pipe replaced can generate up to $9,900 in economic benefits.30 When compared to the cost of replacement, this equates to a 33% societal return on investment (ROI). As a result, this initial $15 billion investment in lead service line replacement across the US is expected to generate a net societal return of $4.95 billion dollars. Moreover, the targeting of this investment with priority for disadvantaged communities and low-income homeowners and renters puts the investment where it’s needed most as research has shown lead exposure risks and blood lead levels are typically greatest in these areas.

In addition, repairing water infrastructure problems after they occur (crises, water main breaks, leaks, contamination, power outages, etc) is more costly and less effective than proactive investment in the “pipeline inventories to replace the aging infrastructure.”31 Simply put, this robust investment in our drinking water infrastructure makes economic sense.

UNFINISHED WORK

I am thrilled about this once-in-a-generation investment in water infrastructure; however, we cannot wait another generation to complete this work. Contrary to President Biden’s initial infrastructure proposal in 2021, the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act will not be sufficient to replace 100% of our nation’s lead pipes. The House-passed Build Back Better (BBB) Act includes an additional $10 billion for lead service line replacement and lead in school water funding. I encourage ongoing deliberations with the Senate and am hopeful that any pared-back version of the BBB would retain this additional funding and make good on the promise of eliminating all lead service lines in this country, both for the critical human impact and to capture the additional return on investment. Alternatively, a stand-alone bill to finish the work should be considered.

In addition, lead in water is only one source of lead; children are also poisoned by other sources of lead (paint, dust, soil, aviation fuel, bullets, etc). Robust primary prevention investments are necessary and achievable to eliminate all sources of lead. According to a recent report, the maximum potential future economic benefits of eliminating all sources of lead before children are exposed, “could reach $84 billion.”

I also support efforts to further clarify and strengthen the enacted Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act by encouraging the administration to 1) require completing lead service line replacement as soon as possible - at least within 10 years - and offer technical assistance and workforce development to disadvantaged communities that may not be as “shovel ready” as other well-resourced communities, 2) allocate funding based on the estimated number of lead service lines in each state, 3) prioritize disadvantaged communities since this funding does not replace 100% of lead pipes, 4) provide this funding as grants (not loans) and bar programs from requiring residents to pay for their replacements, and 5) ban partial line replacements. Partial lead line replacements can result in higher lead contamination after replacement and have been advised against by the EPA’s Science Advisory Board. The 2021 Lead and Copper Rule Revisions do not allow partial lead service line replacements to be counted towards replacement goals. Similarly, the Department of the Treasury’s recent rule for funding under the American Rescue Plan Act prohibits funding for partial lead service line replacements.
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CONCLUSION
The state of our drinking water infrastructure is a public health crisis. Water is a medical and public health necessity, yet for too many people in too many communities, they are denied the basic human right of access to safe and affordable drinking water. A positive ripple effect of Flint’s crisis has been the growing awakening that our fragile and outdated drinking water infrastructure system can sicken and diminish our nation’s competitiveness and our public health. In some ways, the condition of our drinking water infrastructure is a threat to our viability as a nation. For example, when lead was finally restricted from paint, the nation’s collective IQ increased. The same will be said when we finally invest in our drinking water infrastructure and eliminate lead pipes.

Throughout time, and within these great rooms of Congress, we have respected science, learned from history, and boldly taken steps to protect our nation’s children. The bipartisan Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act does exactly that - it is a testament to your visionary and proactive leadership. The return on investment of the Infrastructure Act will be rewarded not only with profound societal savings, but also with generations of children who are healthier, stronger, and smarter. What is infrastructure if not the building of a robust foundation for our nation’s greatest and most valuable resource: our children.

Thank you again for the opportunity to address the committee today. I look forward to your questions.
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