

**AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE  
TO H.R. 2742  
OFFERED BY MR. BRADY OF TEXAS**

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

**1 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “Modernizing Interstate  
3 Placement of Children in Foster Care and Adoption Act”.

**4 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.**

5 The Congress finds that—

6 (1) when a child in foster care cannot return  
7 safely home, the child deserves to be placed in a set-  
8 ting that is best for that child, regardless of whether  
9 it is in the child’s State or another State;

10 (2) the Interstate Compact on the Placement of  
11 Children (ICPC) was established in 1960 to provide  
12 a uniform legal framework for the placement of chil-  
13 dren across State lines in foster and adoptive homes;

14 (3) frequently, children waiting to be placed  
15 with an adoptive family, relative, or foster parent in  
16 another State spend more time waiting for this to  
17 occur than children who are placed with an adoptive,  
18 family, relative, or foster parent in the same State,

1 because of the outdated, administratively burden-  
2 some ICPC process;

3 (4) no child should have to wait longer to be  
4 placed in a loving home simply because the child  
5 must cross a State line;

6 (5) the National Electronic Interstate Compact  
7 Enterprise (NEICE) was launched in August 2014  
8 in Indiana, Nevada, Florida, South Carolina, Wis-  
9 consin, and the District of Columbia, has since ex-  
10 panded into Illinois, Virginia, Rhode Island, Cali-  
11 fornia, Alaska, Nebraska, and Georgia, and is ex-  
12 pected to be expanded into additional States to im-  
13 prove the administrative process by which children  
14 are placed with families across State lines;

15 (6) States using this electronic interstate case-  
16 processing system have reduced administrative costs  
17 and the amount of staff time required to process  
18 these cases, and caseworkers can spend more time  
19 helping children instead of copying and mailing pa-  
20 perwork between States;

21 (7) since NEICE was launched, placement time  
22 has decreased by 30 percent for interstate foster  
23 care placements; and

24 (8) on average, States using this electronic  
25 interstate case-processing system have been able to

1       reduce from 24 business days to 13 business days  
2       the time it takes to identify a family for a child and  
3       prepare the paperwork required to start the ICPC  
4       process.

5       **SEC. 3. STATE PLAN REQUIREMENT.**

6       (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 471(a)(25) of the Social  
7       Security Act (42 U.S.C. 671(a)(25)) is amended—

8               (1) by striking “provide” and insert “provides”;  
9       and

10              (2) by inserting “, which in the case of a State  
11       other than the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the  
12       United States Virgin Islands, Guam, and American  
13       Samoa, not later than October 1, 2027, shall include  
14       the use of an electronic interstate case-processing  
15       system” before the 1st semicolon.

16       (b) EXEMPTION OF INDIAN TRIBES.—Section  
17       479B(c) of such Act (42 U.S.C. 679c(c)) is amended by  
18       adding at the end the following:

19              “(4) INAPPLICABILITY OF STATE PLAN RE-  
20       QUIREMENT TO HAVE IN EFFECT PROCEDURES PRO-  
21       VIDING FOR THE USE AN ELECTRONIC INTERSTATE  
22       CASE-PROCESSING SYSTEM.—The requirement in  
23       section 471(a)(25) that a State plan provide that the  
24       State shall have in effect procedures providing for  
25       the use of an electronic interstate case-processing

1 system shall not apply to an Indian tribe, tribal or-  
2 ganization, or tribal consortium that elects to oper-  
3 ate a program under this part.”.

4 (c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by  
6 subsection (a) shall take effect on the 1st day of the  
7 1st calendar quarter beginning on or after the date  
8 of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply to pay-  
9 ments under part E of title IV of the Social Security  
10 Act for calendar quarters beginning on or after such  
11 date.

12 (2) DELAY PERMITTED IF STATE LEGISLATION  
13 REQUIRED.—If the Secretary of Health and Human  
14 Services determines that State legislation (other  
15 than legislation appropriating funds) is required in  
16 order for a State plan developed pursuant to part E  
17 of title IV of the Social Security Act to meet the ad-  
18 ditional requirement imposed by the amendments  
19 made by subsection (a), the plan shall not be re-  
20 garded as failing to meet any of the additional re-  
21 quirements before the 1st day of the 1st calendar  
22 quarter beginning after the first regular session of  
23 the State legislature that begins after the date of the  
24 enactment of this Act. For purposes of the preceding  
25 sentence, if the State has a 2-year legislative session,

1 each year of the session is deemed to be a separate  
2 regular session of the State legislature.

3 **SEC. 4. FUNDING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN ELEC-**  
4 **TRONIC INTERSTATE CASE-PROCESSING SYS-**  
5 **TEM TO EXPEDITE THE INTERSTATE PLACE-**  
6 **MENT OF CHILDREN IN FOSTER CARE OR**  
7 **GUARDIANSHIP, OR FOR ADOPTION.**

8 Section 437 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.  
9 629g) is amended by adding at the end the following:

10 “(g) FUNDING FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF AN  
11 ELECTRONIC INTERSTATE CASE-PROCESSING SYSTEM TO  
12 EXPEDITE THE INTERSTATE PLACEMENT OF CHILDREN  
13 IN FOSTER CARE OR GUARDIANSHIP, OR FOR ADOP-  
14 TION.—

15 “(1) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this subsection  
16 is to facilitate the development of an electronic inter-  
17 state case-processing system for the exchange of  
18 data and documents to expedite the placements of  
19 children in foster, guardianship, or adoptive homes  
20 across State lines.

21 “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—A State that seeks  
22 funding under this subsection shall submit to the  
23 Secretary the following information:

1           “(A) A description of the goals and out-  
2 comes to be achieved, which goals and outcomes  
3 must result in—

4           “(i) reducing the time it takes for a  
5 child to be provided with a safe and appro-  
6 priate permanent living arrangement  
7 across State lines;

8           “(ii) improving administrative proc-  
9 esses and reducing costs in the foster care  
10 system; and

11           “(iii) the secure exchange of relevant  
12 case files and other necessary materials in  
13 real time, and timely communications and  
14 placement decisions regarding interstate  
15 placements of children.

16           “(B) A description of the activities to be  
17 funded in whole or in part with the funds, in-  
18 cluding the sequencing of the activities.

19           “(C) A description of the strategies for in-  
20 tegrating programs and services for children  
21 who are placed across State lines.

22           “(D) Such other information as the Sec-  
23 retary may require.

24           “(3) FUNDING AUTHORITY.—The Secretary  
25 may provide funds to a State that complies with

1 paragraph (2). In providing funds under this sec-  
2 tion, the Secretary shall prioritize States that are  
3 not yet connected with the electronic interstate case-  
4 processing system referred to in paragraph (1).

5 “(4) USE OF FUNDS.—A State to which fund-  
6 ing is provided under this subsection shall use the  
7 funding to support the State in connecting with, or  
8 enhancing or expediting services provided under, the  
9 electronic interstate case-processing system referred  
10 to in paragraph (1).

11 “(5) EVALUATIONS.—Not later than 1 year  
12 after the final year in which funds are awarded  
13 under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit to  
14 the Congress, and make available to the general  
15 public by posting on a website, a report that con-  
16 tains the following information:

17 “(A) How using the electronic interstate  
18 case-processing system developed pursuant to  
19 paragraph (4) has changed the time it takes for  
20 children to be placed across State lines.

21 “(B) The number of cases subject to the  
22 Interstate Compact on the Placement of Chil-  
23 dren that were processed through the electronic  
24 interstate case-processing system, and the num-  
25 ber of interstate child placement cases that

1           were processed outside the electronic interstate  
2           case-processing system, by each State in each  
3           year.

4           “(C) The progress made by States in im-  
5           plementing the electronic interstate case-proc-  
6           essing system.

7           “(D) How using the electronic interstate  
8           case-processing system has affected various  
9           metrics related to child safety and well-being,  
10          including the time it takes for children to be  
11          placed across State lines.

12          “(E) How using the electronic interstate  
13          case-processing system has affected administra-  
14          tive costs and caseworker time spent on placing  
15          children across State lines.

16          “(6) DATA INTEGRATION.—The Secretary, in  
17          consultation with the Secretariat for the Interstate  
18          Compact on the Placement of Children and the  
19          States, shall assess how the electronic interstate  
20          case-processing system developed pursuant to para-  
21          graph (4) could be used to better serve and protect  
22          children that come to the attention of the child wel-  
23          fare system, by—

24                  “(A) connecting the system with other  
25                  data systems (such as systems operated by



1 State law enforcement and judicial agencies,  
2 systems operated by the Federal Bureau of In-  
3 vestigation for the purposes of the Innocence  
4 Lost National Initiative, and other systems);

5 “(B) simplifying and improving reporting  
6 related to paragraphs (34) and (35) of section  
7 471(a) regarding children or youth who have  
8 been identified as being a sex trafficking victim  
9 or children missing from foster care; and

10 “(C) improving the ability of States to  
11 quickly comply with background check require-  
12 ments of section 471(a)(20), including checks of  
13 child abuse and neglect registries as required by  
14 section 471(a)(20)(B).”.

15 **SEC. 5. CONTINUATION OF DISCRETIONARY FUNDING TO**  
16 **PROMOTE SAFE AND STABLE FAMILIES.**

17 Section 437(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.  
18 629g(a)) is amended by striking “2016” and inserting  
19 “2018”.

20 **SEC. 6. RESERVATION OF FUNDS TO IMPROVE THE INTER-**  
21 **STATE PLACEMENT OF CHILDREN.**

22 Section 437(b) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C.  
23 629g(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

24 “(4) IMPROVING THE INTERSTATE PLACEMENT  
25 OF CHILDREN.—The Secretary shall reserve

1       \$5,000,000 of the amount made available for fiscal  
2       year 2018 for providing funding under subsection  
3       (g), and the amount so reserved shall remain avail-  
4       able through fiscal year 2022.”.

